DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 206 682

TH 810 579

TITLE

Reading and Mathematics Achievement in Public and

Private Schools: Is There a Difference?

INSTITUTION

Education Commission of the States, Denver, Colo.

National Assessment of Educational Progress.

SPONS AGENCY

National Center for Education Statistics (DHEW),

Washington, D.C.: National Inst. of Education (ED),

Washington, D.C.

PEPORT NO

NAEP-SY-RM-50

PUB DATE CONTRACT

Aug 81 OEC-0-74-0506

GRANT

NIE-G-90-0003

NOTE

15p.: Small print in tables.

EDRS PRICE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

*Academic Achievement: Comparative Analysis: *Educational Assessment: Elementary Secondary Education: *Mathematics: *Private Schools: *Public

Schools: *Peading Achievement: Socioeconomic

Influences

IDENTIFIERS

National Assessment of Educational Progress

ABSTRACT

The performance of 9, 13, and 17 year old public and private school students, in reading and mathematics, was compared by analyzing data collected during the National Assessment of Educational Progress 1977-78 mathematics and 1979-80 reading assessments. Although results were averaged for the national population, separate data is available not only for the types of schools, but for region, race, sex, size and type of community. Mean national performance percentages indicate significant differences in favor of private schools in both reading and mathematics. After adjustment for the fact that schools serve unequal proportions of students from different socioeconomic background (by equating student populations for public and private schools), mean scores on the entire reading assessment differed three percentage points at age 9 and 13, and four points at 17 in favor of private schools. Differences in mathematics scores were statistically insignificant. It appears that on a national level: private schools perfora better than public schools in reading and mathematics; the advantage may vary in different regions and for different student groups: and a significant portion of the advantage is accounted for by the different socioeconomic make-up of students attending private schools. Primary type of information provided by report: Results (Special Analyses). (Author/ABP)

from the original document.



Peproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

READING AND MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SCHOOLS:

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE?

A Special Analysis of the National Assessment Reading and Mathematics Data

No. SY-RM-50

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as re-erved from the person or organization originating it

[] Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official full position or policy

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE TH'S MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

August 1981

Prepared by the
National Assessment of Educational Progress
1860 Lincoln Street, Suite 700
Denver, Colorado 80295
(303) 830-3732



READING AND MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT IN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SCHOOLS: IS THERE A DIFFERENCE?

For many years, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) has been collecting data about educational achievement in both public and private American schools. This paper compares public and private school pupils' performance in reading and mathematics using data gathered during the 1977 78 mathematics and 1979-80 reading assessments of 9-, 13- and 17-year-olds. The 1977-78 data and the portion of the 1979-80 data used in the reading change assessment indicate differences in both reading and mathematics performance, in favor of the private schools. However, when the data are adjusted to compensate for socioeconomic differences in the composition of private and public school student populations, national performance differences cease to be statistically significant.

When one examines the entire body of reading assessment data for 1979-80, which is based on a much larger number of performance exercises than the change assessment, the differences are more pronounced. Private school reading performance is better than public at all three ages, and the performance remains better even when one adjusts for the different composition of private and public school student populations.

Procedures

Readers not familiar with the National Assessment data base should consult the National Assessment procedural handbooks noted at the end of this paper. In brief, each assessment involved a national sample of students drawn from over 1,000 schools (see Table 5). The students' performance was assessed with huncreds of exercises spanning a wide range of reading and mathematics skills. The results were averaged for national populations of 9-, '3- and 17-year-olds and for various subgroups of young people, such as blacks, whites, males, females and people living in various regions and communities. The means for public school students were compared with the means for private school students to get the figures presented in the following tables as "public/private differences." A positive difference is a difference in favor of private school students; a negative difference is in favor of public school students.



The differences recorded on Tables 2-4 partly reflect the fact that public schools serve a somewhat different body of students than private schools. As Table l reveals, private-Catholic and private-non-Catholic school populations contain different proportions of students from various socioeconomic backgrounds. For instance, 11% of the 13-year-olds in the public schools come from homes in which neither parent finished high school; the proportion of such students in Catholic schools is only 4%, and in non-Catholic private schools, it is less than 1%. Conversely, 46% of the students in the public schools have parents with post-high-school education. But the proportion of such students in Catholic schools is 59% and in private non-Catholic schools, 71%. Similar proportions exist for indicators of socioeconomic status such as the other advantaged-urban and disadvantaged-urban categories. While a third to more than half of the students in private schools live in advantaged areas, only 7% of the public school students do. Clearly, the private schools contain a much higher proportion of students from backgrounds known to be associated with high academic performance. What would happen if public schools dealt with the same proportions of high- and low-socioeconomic students found in the private schools?

To estimate what the results might be, private Catholic and private non-Catholic schools were combined to create the "private" category. (There are too few non-Catholic private schools in the sample to justify reporting their results separately.) Then, the populations were equated so that both public and private populations shared equal proportions of students from various socioeconomic strata. The results appear in Tables 2-4 as "adjusted" differences.

TABLE 1. Estimated Percent of Public and Private Students by Selected Reporting Groups, Age 13, 1980

| | Public | Private Catholic | Private Non-Catholic | All |
|---------------------------|--------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Parental education | | | | |
| Not graduated high school | 10.9% | 2.00 | | |
| Graduated high school | 32.3 | 3.8% | 0.3% | 9.9% |
| Post high school | | 29.1 | 20.3 | 31.6 |
| Unknown | 46.3 | 59.1 | 71.1 | 48.2 |
| | 10.6 | 8.0 | 8.3 | 10.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Race | | | | |
| White | 79.3 | 70.1 | | _ |
| Black | 13.6 | 79.1 | 91.4 | 79.7 |
| · Hispanic | | 15.5 | 6.6 | 13.5 |
| Other | 5.7 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 5.5 |
| | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Sex | | | | |
| ٠±±٠ | 49.0 | 45.4 | 49.3 | 40.5 |
| Female | 51.0 | 54.6 | | 48.7 |
| | 31.0 | 34.0 | 50.7 | 51.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Size of community | | | | |
| Big cities | 14.4 | 46.3 | 38.5 | 17.0 |
| Fringes | 23.6 | 15.8 | 37.9 | 17.9 |
| Medium cities | 13.2 | 15.8 | 8.8 | 23.5 |
| Small places | 48.8 | 22.2 | | 13.2 |
| • | 40.0 | 24.2 | 14.7 | 45.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Region | | | | |
| Northeast | 23.0 | 42.8 | 15.2 | 24.2 |
| Southeast | 25.1 | 7.7 | 29.3 | 24.3 |
| Central | 23.4 | 41.0 | | 23.8 |
| West | 26.6 | 8.5 | 23.1 32.3 | 26.6 |
| | | 0.5 | J J | 25.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Type of community | | | | |
| Rural | 9.2 | 8.8 | 12.0 | a 2 |
| Disadvantaged urban | 11.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| Advantaged urban | 7.1 | 32.6 | | 10.0 |
| Other | 72.5 | 56.8 | 54.4 , 33.6 | 11.0 |
| | | 50.0 | 23.0 | 69.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | - | 100.0 |

Reading Achievement

Table 2 presents mean reading performance percentages for students in public and private schools. Nationally, the difference is about 7 percentage points at age 9, 8 points at age 13 and 6 points at age 17 in favor of the private schools.

The differences are greater or less in some parts of the country and among some populations. For instance, at age 9, there is a 16.4-point difference for students living in the Southeast and a 7.3-point difference for black children. However, at age 13, there is little apparent difference between public and private schools in the Central states, between students attending schools in advantaged areas, or between students whose parents have post high school education.

Looking at the unadjusted data for all three ages, it appears that, given the students they currently serve:

- o Private school students' reading performance is better than public school students', on the average.
- o The private school advantage is generally greatest in the Southeastern and Western regions, and next-greatest in the Northeastern states.
- o There is little apparent difference between public and private school students attending schools in advantaged-urban areas, and there is only a slight difference for students whose parents have a post-high-school education.
- o Both males and females in private schools do better than they do in public schools, but the difference is greater for males.
- o The private junior and senior high school advantage is larger for schools in high population metropolitan areas than in smaller cities and towns.
- o Black 9-, 13- and 17-year-olds in private schools perform better than those in public schools.

After adjustment for the fact that public and private schools serve unequal proportions of students from various socioeconomic strata, national differences are considerably reduced to less than 3 percentage points at ages 9 and 13 and 4 percentage points at age 17. Southeastern students in private schools continue to show a large statistically significant advantage (more than twice the predicted standard error), as do private school black teenagers,



Western teenagers, males and 17-year-olds in northeastern private schools. Other group differences shrink considerably after adjustment, but remain significant.

Table 3 presents similar results for a subset of reading assessment exercises used to measure changes in reading skills since 1970. Again, the unadjusted differences are in favor of private schools. But after adjustment, almost all differences disappear or become statistically nonsignificant except in the Southeast at age 9, the West at age 13, the Northeast at age 17 and except for males in the oldest age group.

We do not know why the national private school advantage disappears after the change results are adjusted but not after the full reading assessment data are adjusted. Further comparisons of public and private school students in academic and vocational curriculums may shed more light on this.



TABLE 2. Mean Achievement for Public and Private Students for Three Ages and Selected Groups, 1979-80 Reading Assessment¹

| | Public/ Private ² Differ- ence | Age 9 Predicted ¹ Standard Error | Adjusted Difference | Public/ Private Differ- ence | Age 13 Predicted Standard Error | Adjusted Differ- ence | Public/ Private Differ- ence | Age 17 Predicted Standard Error | Adjusted Differ- ence |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Nation | 6.98 | 0.91% | (2.5%) | 8.2 | 0.79% | (2.7%) | 6.41 | 0.81% | (3.6%) |
| Region | • | | | | | | | | |
| Northeast Southeast | 4.0 16.4 | 1.40 1.77 | (0.6) (10.2) | 7.0 10.5 | 1.16 1.70 | (3.0) (1.4) | 8.2 7.9 | 1.21 2.13 | (6.2) |
| Central Mest | 1.4 10.6 | 1.34 2.23 | (-0.1) (3.4) | 4.0 10.8 | 1.27 1.37 | (0.0) (4.3) | 2.2 8.5 | 1.21 1.40 | (5.4) (0.3) (4.6) |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male Pensie | 9.2 5.9 | 1.16 1.19 | (3.4) (1.4) | 9.2 7.1 | 1.02 1.00 | (3.4) (2.0) | 8.4 4.4 | 0.96 1.14 | (5.n) (1.8) |
| Community size ⁵ | | | | | | | • | | \ 1.0/ |
| BC+P MC+SP | 7.9 7.2 | 1.14 1.24 | (2.2) (3.7) | 9.9 6.9 | 0.97 1.08 | (2.9) (2.8) | 8.0 5.2 | 1.00 1.09 | (4.4) (3.1) |
| Type of community Advantaged urban | 3.5 | 1.64 | (3.5) | 1.7 | 1.30 | (1.0) | 2.9 | 1.53 | (2.9) |
| Parental education' NiS+GHS | 6.4 | 1.47 | (2.2) | 9.3 | 1.22 | (4.9) | 6.3 | 1.18 | |
| PHS | 6.0 | 1.23 | (1.9) | 4.4 | 0.94 | (1.5) | 3.6 | 0.96 | (4.3) (2.9) |
| Rece White | 5 4 | | | | | | | 0.70 | (2.3) |
| Black | 5.4 7.3 | 0.98 2.53 | (2.2) (3.2) | 6.1 13.1 | 0. 8 5 1.73 | (2.2) (5.9) | 4.4 8.8 | C.86 2.30 | (3.0) (5.6) |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | ,, |

Reading performance was assessed with 249 reading items at age 9, 322 items at age 13 and 291 items at age 17. The items assessed literal comprehension, inferential comprehension and reference skills. The data are for reading only. Public/private differences may be smaller or larger in other

The private schools assessed included Catholic (73%) and Mon-Catholic (30%) schools. Although many of the non-Catholic schools were church-related or supported, very few were Christian-fundamentalist schools.

The standard error of the difference is an estimate of the potential sampling variability. Generally, if the difference is at least twice its standard error, we are very confident that it is a real difference and not an artifact of sampling variation. "The "adjustea" difference is the difference that would probably exist between public and private schools, if public schools were serving the same

population of students as private schools. Table 2 shows that they are not. Community eise: big cities (population 200,000+) and the fringes around them -- (BC+F); and medium cities (population 25,000-200,000) and small

places (population below 25,000) combined -- (MC+SP).

Type of community: advantaged-urban -- echools in or around cities having populations greater than 200,000 and serving communities in which a high proportion of the residents are in professional or managerial positions.

Parental echoation: etudente neither of whose parente graduated from high school (NHS) are combined with students who had at least one parent graduating from high school (CHS) to create one category. A recond category, post high school (PHS), includes students who had at least one parent



Mean Achievement for Public and Private Students for Three Ages and Selected Groups, 1979-80 Reading Change Assessment 1

| Public/ Private ² Differ- ence | Age 9 Predicted ¹ Standard **YOK | Adjusted ⁴ Differ- ence | Public/ Frivate Differ- ence | Age 13 Predicted Standard Error | Adjusted Differ- ence | Public/ Private Differ- ence | Age 17 Predicted Standard Error | Adjusted Differ- ence |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 5.14 | 1.5% | (1.4%) | 5.78 | 1.3% | (2.4%) | 6.58 | 1.5% | (2.8%) |
| | | | | | | | | (2.00, |
| 2.9 | 2.3 | / 0 9) | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2.2 | | 10.4 | 2.4 | (6.7) |
| | | | | | (3.6) | * | • | (0.7, |
| | | (1.0) | 2.0 | 2.2 | (~0.3) | 1.6 | 2.1 | (-4.9) |
| - | - | | 9.9 | 2.3 | . (6.7) | * | * | (4.2) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6.6 | 1.0 | | | | | | | |
| 3.0 | 1.9 | (2.0) | 6.7 | 1.8 | (1.9) | 8.8 | 1 9 | (4.6) |
| 3.9 | 2.0 | (1.2) | 4.6 | 1.7 | (2.8) | | 2.1 | (0.5) |
| | | | | | | | | (0.3) |
| 4.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | | |
| 4.0 | 2.0 | (~0.3) | 7.7 | 1.6 | (3.2) | 7.5 | 1.9 | (1.2) |
| 3.6 | 1.9 | (2.5) | 3,9 | 2.1 | (1.7) | 6.2 | 2 1 | (4.7) |
| | | | | | ,, | | | (4.7) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1.0 | 3.2 | (-1.2) | 0.8 | 2.4 | (2.5) | 1.1 | 3.4 | (-0.9) |
| | | | | | | | 3.4 | (~0.5) |
| 4.0 | | | | | | | | |
| 4.0 | 2.5 | (1.3) | 6.6 | 2.1 | (4.1) | 6.7 | 2.2 | 1 2 51 |
| 4.4 | 2.0 | (1.1) | 2.3 | 1.6 | (0.9) | 3.9 | 1 8 | (2.5) (3.1) |
| | | | | | ,, | | 0 | (3.1) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| J. 3 | 1.6 | (0.6) | 3.8 | 1.5 | (2.0) | 5.0 | 1.6 | (2.4) |
| 9.7 | 4.7 | / 0 11 | 11.5 | 3.0 | (4.7) | 6.2 | 4.4 | (2.4) |
| | Private ² Differ- ence | Public/Privata2 Standard Privata2 Standard Privata Standard Prior ence | Public/ Private ² Standard Difference 5.1% 1.5% 1.5% (1.4%) 2.9 2.3 (0.8) 10.8 2.8 (9.6) -0.4 2.3 (1.0) 6.6 3.9 2.0 (1.2) 4.0 2.0 5.6 1.9 (2.0) 3.9 2.0 (-0.3) 5.6 1.9 (2.5) 1.0 3.2 (-1.2) | Public/ Private Standard Difference ence Standard Difference ence Difference ence Standard Difference ence Difference ence Difference ence Standard Standard Difference ence Difference ence Standard Standard Standard Difference ence Difference ence Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Standard Difference ence Difference ence Standard Stand | Public/ Predicted Standard Difference Error Ence Error Ence Standard Difference Error Ence Error Ence Standard Difference Error Encor Error Encor Encor Encor Encor Error Encor | Public/ Predicted Standard Difference ence Standard Difference ence Standard Difference ence Standard Difference ence Ence Difference ence Ence Encorporate | Public/ Private Standard Difference ence Diffe | Public/Private Standard Difference ence Public Predicted Standard Difference ence Provided Standard Error Predicted Standard Error ence Provided Standard Error Provided Standard Error |

*Sample eieee too low to permit reliable setimates.

Reading performance was assessed with 58 reading items at age 9, 71 items at ages 13 and 17. The items assessed literal comprehension, inferential comprehension and reference exille. The data are for reading only. Public/private differences may be smaller or larger in other subject areas. The private schools assessed included Catholic (70%) and non-Catholic (30%) schools. Although many of the non-Catholic schools were church-related or supported, very few were Christian-fundamentalist schools.

The standard error of the difference is an estimate of the potential sampling variability. Generally, if the difference is at least twice its standard error, we are very confident that it is a real difference and not an artifact of sampling variation. "The "adjusted" difference is the difference that would probably exist between public and private schools, if public schools were serving the same

population of students as private schools. Table 2 shows that they are not.

Community size: big oities (population 200,000+) and the fringes around them -- (BC+F); and medium oities (population 25,000-200,000) and small places (population below 25,000) combined -- (MC+SP).

Type of community: advantaged-urban -- schools in or around cities having populations greater than 200,000 and serving communities in which a high proportion of the residents are in professional or managerial positions.

Parental education: etudente neither of whose parente graduated from high school (NIIS) are combined with students who had at least one parent graduating from high school (GHS) to creats one category. A second category, post high school (PHS), includes students who had at least one parent educated beyond high echool.

Mathematics Achievement

Table 4 displays differences between public and private schools on the 1977-73 mathematics assessment. Again, the private school students hold a general advantage of 5.2 to 7.5 points, and for some population groups, the advantage is greater than for others.

- o At age 9, the private school advantage is greatest for students in big cities (10.2 percentage points), children of parents who have no high school education (10.3), blacks (8.4) and students living in the Western states (8.5). There is no statistically significant difference for students from the Central states or from suburban areas.
- o At ages 13 and 17, the private school advantage is greatest for blacks (14.7 and 12.2 percentage points, respectively), Southeastern students (12.5 and 12.6), and big-city residents (12.9 and 9.2).
- o The private school advantage in mathematics is greater for males than females, especially in high school.
- o There is no appreciable private school advantage for students attending advantaged-urban schools.

After adjustment, the national differences become statistically nonsignificant. A private school advantage remains for teenagers from the Southeast, those attending schools in big cities and black teenagers. Similarly, 17-year-olds attending private schools in advantaged urban areas have an advantage of er their public school counterparts. But public school 13-year-olds in medium-sized cities hold a slight advantage over those in the private schools.



Mean Achievement for Public and Private Students for TABLE 4. Three Ages and Selected Groups, 1977-78 Mathematics Assessment¹

| Nation | Public/ Private ² Differ- ence | Age 9 Predicted Standard Error | Adjusted* Differ- ence | Public/ Private Differ- ence | Age 13 Predicted Standard Error | Adjusted Differ- ence | Public/ Private Differ- ence | Age 17 Predicted Standard Error | Adjuste Differ- ence |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| MECION | 6.2 | 1.1% | (1.5%) | 7.5% | 0.98 | 4.9.4 | | | |
| Region | | | | | 0.56 | (1.4%) | 5.2 | 1.0 | (0.8 |
| Northeast | 5.0 | | | | | | | | , |
| Southeast | | 1.9 | (3.1) | 3.6 | 1 2 | | | | |
| Central | 7.0 | 2.7 | (-1.1) | 12.5 | 1.3 | (0.3) | 4.1 | 1.4 | |
| Heat | 2.4 | 1.5 | (06) | 5.7 | 2.1 | (5.0) | 12.6 | 2,2 | (-0.6) |
| ****** | 8.5 | 2.9 | (2.5) | J./ | 1,4 | (1.4) | 4.2 | 1.6 | (8.2 |
| Sex | | | | - | • | • | 2.4 | 2.0 | (1.5) |
| Male | | | | | | | | 2.0 | (-1.1) |
| | 6.4 | 1.5 | (1.7) | | | | | | |
| Pemale | 6.0 | 1.5 | (1.7) | 8.6 | 1.2 | (2.0) | 0 = | | |
| | | | (1.2) | 6.6 | 1.2 | (0.9) | 8.5 | 1.2 | (3.0) |
| Community size's | | | | | | (4.5) | 2.8 | 1.2 | (-1.2) |
| Big cities | 10.2 | | | | | | | | - / - / |
| Pringes | 3.8 | 1.7 | (3.0) | 12.9 | 1.2 | (3.3) | | | |
| Medium cities | J. 0 | 2.1 | (0.5) | 1.6 | 1.6 | | 9.2 | 1.3 | (3.0) |
| Small places | 4.3 | | • | -0.9 | 2.4 | (-0.6) | 2.4 | 2.0 | (-1.3) |
| | 7.3 | 1.7 | (0.8) | A | •. • | (-5,4) | • | • | (-1.3) |
| Type of community | | | | | - | • | • | • | |
| Advantaged urban | | | | | | | | | _ |
| - Jose Wildell | -0.9 | 2.0 | (-0.9) | 0.8 | | | | | |
| erental education | | | - ·-• | U. 0 | 1.6 | (0.9) | 4.0 | 1.7 | |
| Not madrined by: | | | | | | | ••• | *. / | (3.9) |
| Not graduated high school | 10.3 | 4.1 | (5.7) | 11 0 | | | | | |
| Graduated high school | 4.9 | 2.1 | (-0.1) | 11.8 | 2.9 | (6.9) | 7.1 | a - | |
| Post high school | 5.1 | 1.6 | (3.8) | 6.1 | 1.4 | (1.5) | | 2.5 | (4.5) |
| | | | (3.0) | 3.9 | 1.2 | (0.1) | 3.4 | 1.5 | (0.3) |
| ace . | | | | | | , 0.17 | 2.7 | 1.2 | (0.5) |
| White | 4.2 | 1.2 | | | | | | | |
| Black | 8.4 | | (1.4) | 4.9 | 1.0 | / 1 A | | | |
| Hispanic | . 1 | 3.4 | (1.9) | 14.7 | 3.4 | (1.0) | 3.6 | 1.0 | (0.3 |
| Other | - | • | • | 7, 2 | | (10.3) | 12.2 | 3.2 | (8.7) |
| | - | estimates. | • | 7.2 | 3.8 | (0.4) | 6.2 | 3.0 | (-1.6) |

The mathematics assessment consisted of hundreds of items passesing mathematical knowledge, skills, unders anding and application.

The standard error of the difference is an estimate of the potential sampling variability. Generally, if the difference is at least twice its etandard error, we are very confident that it is a real difference and not an artifact of sampling variation.

The "adjusted" difference is the difference that would probably exist between public and private schools, if public schools were serving the same population of students as private schools. Table 3 shows that they are not.

Community siss: hig cities = population 200,000+; fringes = fringes around big cities; medium cities = population 25,000-200,000; small places =

population below \$5,000.

Type of community: advantaged-urban -- schools in or around cities having populations greater than \$00,000 and serving communities in which a high

proportion of the resion the are in professional or managerial positions.

Aurental education -- not graduated from high school = neither parent graduated; graduated from high school = at least one parent graduated; post high



The private echools assessed included Catholic (70%) and non-Catholic (30%) schools. Although many of the non-Catholic schools were church-related

In conclusion, it appears that, nationally, private school students are performing somewhat better than public school students in reading and mathematics, although the advantage is greater or less in different parts of the country and for different groups of students. It also appears that a considerable proportion of that advantage can be accounted for by the fact that private schools serve a population guite different in its socioeconomic makeup than the population ttending public schools.

These are averages, of course, and may not reflect public/private school differences in any particular area.

The National Assessment will continue to study public/private school student characteristics and achievement levels in various subjects. Future analyses will examine students taking academic or vocational courseloads in public and private schools and will link NAEP data to other data bases relevant to the study of this issue.



TABLE 5. Sample Characteristics

| | Total | Age 9 | Age 13 | Age 17 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| READING | | | | |
| Number of students | 104,001 | 29,097 | 38,812 | 36,092 |
| Number of exercises in summary mean | | 249 | 322 | 291 |
| Number of schools Total Public Private | 1,690 1,447 243 | 561 489 72 | 536 418 118 | 593 540 53 |
| MATH | | | | |
| Number cf students | 63,407 | 14,696 | 24,155 | 24,556 |
| Number of exercises in summary mean | | 386 | 633 | 654 |
| Number of schools Total Public Private | 1,377 1,186 191 | 466 402 64 | | |
| READING CHANGE | | | | |
| Number of students | 23,938 | 7,894 | 8,337 | 7,707 |
| Number of exercises in summary mean | | 58 | 71 | 71 |
| Number of school, Total Public Private | 1,092 982 110 | 341 308 33 | 315 266 49 | 436 408 28 |

For More Information

- Procedural Handbook: 1977-78 Mathematics Assessment, Report no. 09-MA-40. Denver, Colo.: National Assessment of Educational Progress, Education Commission of the States, 1980. ERIC no. ED 186 280. ISBN 0-89398-143-5.
- Procedural Handbook: 1979-80 Reading and Literature Assessment, Report no. 11-RL-40. Denver, Colo.: National Assessment of Educational Progress, Education Commission of the States, 1981. ISBN 0-89398-221-0.

